COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0526-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 399

Subject: Construction and Building Codes; Energy; Public Buildings

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 15, 2005

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0526-01 Bill No. HB 399 Page 2 of 4 February 15, 2005

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTIONS

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) assume the proposal would update the minimum energy efficiency standards for state buildings. Based on experience with other divisions the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Department of Natural Resources, Division of Energy could require approximately 4 pages in the Code of State Regulations. The estimated cost of a page in the Code of State Regulations is \$27. For any given rule, roughly one-half again as many pages are published in the Missouri Register as are published in the Code because of cost statements, fiscal notes and notices that are not published in the Code. The estimated cost of a page in the Missouri Register is \$23. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded, and withdrawn. $((4 \times \$27) + (6 \times \$23) = \$246)$

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

L.R. No. 0526-01 Bill No. HB 399 Page 3 of 4 February 15, 2005

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the Office of Administration, Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Division of Facilities Management, and Division of Budget and Planning, and the Department of Natural Resources assume this proposal would have no impact on their organizations.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2006 (10 Mo.)	FY 2007	FY 2008
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would update the energy efficiency technical standard for state buildings.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0526-01 Bill No. HB 399 Page 4 of 4 February 15, 2005

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State
Office of Administration
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Division of Facilities Management
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Natural Resources

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 15, 2005